Interlesional Response Assessment With ¹⁸F-Sodium Fluoride (¹⁸F-NaF) PET/CT in Men With Chemotherapy-Naive Bone Metastatic **Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC)** Treated With Enzalutamide

Christos E. Kyriakopoulos,¹ Elisabeth I. Heath,² Anna Ferrari,³ Scott Perlman,¹ Tina Mayer,⁴ Mark Stein,⁵ Katharina Modelska,⁶ William Duggan,⁷ Robert Jeraj,^{1,8} Glenn Liu^{1,8} ¹University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute of New Jersey, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁴Cancer Institute, NA, ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, ⁴Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, New Brunswick, NJ, ⁴Cancer Institute, NJ, ⁴Cancer Insti ⁵Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁶Pfizer Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁷Pfizer Inc., Groton, CT, USA; ⁸AIQ Solutions, Madison, WI, USA

BACKGROUND

- The study met its primary endpoint, with 22 out of 22 (100%) evaluable men having \geq 1 responding • The primary objective was to evaluate ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT imaging as a method to determine treatment • ¹⁸F-NaF positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scan is an approved tool to response in metastatic bone lesions at the time of disease progression (PSA, bone or soft tissue, or bone lesion on QTBI at PET3. detect and evaluate osteoblastic metastases that is more sensitive and specific than conventional other clinically relevant progression) or at 2 years without progression after treatment in patients bone scintigraphy.^{1,2} **Disease Burden** with chemotherapy-naive mCRPC treated with enzalutamide.
- Quantitative total bone imaging (QTBI) is an advanced image quantification method that can identify, quantitate, and determine treatment response at the lesion level between ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT scans (**Figure 1**).³⁻⁵
- Although biologic heterogeneity is reported in solid tumors,⁶ interlesional response to heterogeneity is under-recognized and is a critical clinical issue.
- Here we assess the proportion of men treated with enzalutamide with responding lesions by ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT scan at the time of prostate-specific antigen (PSA), standard radiographic, or clinical progression.



METHODS

• The study schema is presented in **Figure 2**.



Objectives and Endpoints

- ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT scans were obtained at baseline (PET1), week 13 (PET2), and at the time of PSA progression (defined per Prostate Cancer Working Group 2 guidelines as an increase of $\geq 25\%$ and \geq 2.0 ng/mL above nadir⁷), standard radiographic or clinical progression, or at 2 years without progression (PET3) based on QTBI.
- Circulating tumor cells for genomic analysis were obtained; results will be presented at a later date.
- The primary endpoint was the proportion of men with \geq 1 responding bone lesion on PET3 (defined as a lesion with a total ¹⁸F-NaF standardized uptake value [SUV] less than baseline).
- Evaluable men had scans at PET1 and PET3.

Eligibility Criteria

- Histologically or cytologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate without neuroendocrine differentiation or signet-cell or small-cell features.
- Presence of bone metastatic disease, as assessed by \geq 2 lesions on whole-body radionuclide ^{99m}Tc-MDP bone scintigraphy.
- Progressive disease on androgen deprivation therapy at screening, defined as a minimum of 2 sequentially rising PSA values, with the last PSA value at \geq 2 ng/mL.
- No prior enzalutamide, abiraterone acetate, aminoglutethimide, ketoconazole, radium-223 dichloride (or other bone-targeting radionuclides), cytotoxic chemotherapy, or investigational agents that inhibit the androgen receptor or androgen synthesis for CRPC.
- No visceral metastasis.
- No radiation therapy within 4 weeks.

RESULTS

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

• A total of 23 men with a median age of 72 years (range, 51-93) and a median PSA of 20.5 ng/mL (range, 3.9-133.6) were enrolled (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Demographic and Baseline Patient Characteristics	
Characteristic	Enzalutamide (N = 23)
Median age (range), y	72 (51-93)
Race, white, no. (%)	21 (91.3)
ECOG PS, no. (%)	
0	16 (69.6)
1	7 (30.4)
Median serum PSA (range), ng/mL	20.5 (3.9-133.6)
Median Gleason score, range	7.0 (5.0-9.0)
Prior radiotherapy, no. (%)	
Yes	14 (60.9)
No	9 (39.1)
Prior surgery, no. (%)	
Yes	15 (65.2)
No	8 (34.8)

Abbreviations: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

Primary Endpoint

- The mean disease burden, as measured by total SUV, did not change significantly over time (**Figure 3A**).
- The proportion of progressive lesions increased from a mean 7.8% (range, 0-29) at PET2 to a mean 9.4% (range, 0-32) at PET3 (**Figure 3B**).



Abbreviations: PET1, baseline; PET2, week 13; PET3, time of PSA progression, standard radiographic or clinical progression, or 2 years without progression; SUV, standardized uptake value.

• All patients had a mixture of progressive, stable, and responding lesions at PET3 (Figures 4 and 5).





Abbreviations: PET1, baseline; PET2, week 13; PET3, time of PSA progression, standard radiographic or clinical progression, or 2 years without progression; SUV, standardized uptake value.

Figure 4. Change in Disease Burden and Number of Lesions Per Response Classification



Safety

• Enzalutamide was generally well tolerated.

CONCLUSIONS

- All patients had responding disease at the time of progression or at 2 years.
- This supports the hypothesis that a substantial number of lesions continue to benefit from enzalutamide treatment beyond progression.
- While overall functional disease burden improved during treatment, an eventual increase in global burden was seen at the time of progression as measured by ¹⁸F-NaF PET/CT.
- At the time of primary endpoint analysis, the proportion of progressing lesions is low.
- This supports the concept of treating beyond progression and selectively targeting nonresponding lesions while keeping patients on enzalutamide.
- OTBI allows identification of men with suboptimal response to treatment that may benefit from more aggressive approaches, including the following:
- Addition of, or change to, more intensive systemic therapies.
- In men with oligoresistant disease, early ablation of nonresponding lesions to maximize treatment response and delay progression.
- In men with oligoprogressive disease at the time of PSA progression, identification and ablation of progressive lesions to extend clinical benefit with enzalutamide.

REFERENCES

Even-Sanir F et al ./ Nucl Med 2006:47(2):287 P Tateishi II et al Ann Nucl Med 2010:24(7):523 3. Lin C et al. *J Nucl Med*. 2016:57(12):1872-1879 4. Yip S et al. Phys Med Biol. 2014:59(6):1485-149 5. Yip S. Jerai R. Phys Med Biol. 2014;59(6):1501-151 6. Yadav SS et al. *Urol Oncol*. 2018;36(8):349-360 7. Scher HI et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26(7):1148-115

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was sponsored by Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY) and Astellas Pharma, Inc. (Northbrook, IL) the co-developers of enzalutamide. The data presented in Figure 4 were generated, validated, and are stored by AIQ Solutions (Madison, WI). Medical writing and editorial assistance funded by both sponsor companies was provided by Stephanie Vadasz, PhD, and Michele Salernitano from Ashfield Healthcare Communications



PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Please scan this quick response (QR) code with your smartphone app to view a plain



Please scan this QR code with your smart-phone app to view an electronic version of this poster. If you do not have access to a smart-phone, please access the poster via the following link: https://congress-download.pfizer.com/asco_2019_ american_society_of_clinical_oncology_406_

american_society_of_clinical_oncology_406 enzalutamide_kyriakopoulos_c_5036.html